

**For the attention of The Chief Officer
(Governance) Lancaster City Council.**

**The residents of Burrow present this
petition to Lancaster City Council and
ask that it considers and responds to the
concerns stated in the signed petition
statement.**

67 signatures.

Submitted

Thursday 23 March 2017.

Opposition to current proposed development of 'Bailrigg
Garden Village' - February 2017

Petition to Lancaster City Council

As a concerned resident of the local area (Bailrigg, Burrow and Ellel) we wish to raise our concerns about the scale, extent, impact, sustainability and long term disruption of the Bailrigg Garden Village proposal in the draft Lancaster District Local Plan.

Whilst not opposed to development and change in moderation, we believe the Council have not proposed any alternatives for such development in the district, and the proposal fails to utilise the recently opened Bay Gateway infrastructure to its fullest extent. Following consultation with Green Party Councillor, Tim Hamilton-Cox, we challenge the baseline figures used in council reports to measure demand and therefore the scale of the development proposed.

The time scale for consultation seems very limited and fails to follow due process. The proposal doesn't seem to provide any impact assessments of the scale of the development.

We believe this is not a garden village but an urban extension to the City of Lancaster.

**For the Attention of
The Chief Officer (Governance)
Lancaster City Council.**

**The residents of Ellel and Bailrigg
present this petition to
Lancaster City Council
and ask that it considers and responds
to the concerns stated in the
signed petition statement.**

299 signatures.

**Submitted
Thursday 23 March 2017.**

Petition concerning proposed Bailrigg Garden Village

As residents of the local area (Bailrigg, Burrow and Ellel), we wish to raise our concerns about the scale, extent, impact and sustainability of the Bailrigg Garden Village as set out in the draft Lancaster District Local Plan, and the long-term disruption it will cause.

Whilst not opposed to development and change in moderation, we believe the Council have not sufficiently considered any alternatives for such development in the District, and in particular the opportunities opened up by the expensively constructed Bay Gateway.

The time scale for consultation seems very limited and leaves little time for full consideration. The proposal does not seem to provide any impact assessments of the scale of the development.

We believe this is not a garden village but an urban extension to the City of Lancaster.